Queer Keyword Conference, April 15, 2005 School of English, University of College Dublin, Ireland Michael O'Rourke, Faculty of Arts Fellow, UCD, Moderator

Homomasculinity:

Framing Keywords of Queer Popular Culture Jack Fritscher, Ph.D.

www.JackFritscher.com

"Good authors who once knew better words now only use four-letter words writing prose. Anything goes!" —Cole Porter, 1934

Note: This paper enjoins the "Queer Keywords" invitation to activist coiners of various *homo-words* to reflect anecdotally on their neologisms, their cultural and societal significance, origin, contexts, and agendas vis a vis the impulse to conceptualize, name, and label particularly in the mass media of gay popular culture. The author as journalist and novelist participated in 1960s civil rights, and chronicled 1970s gay pop culture in emerging magazines, with ties to the American Popular Culture Association, while writing books variously on the gender history of witchcraft, the interpretation of television, and Tennessee Williams.

I. Introduction:

The Mise en Scene of Pop Culture, the 1960s, and Keystones in the Arch of the Stonewall; A Survey of One Writer's Linguistic Journey through the Grotesque Odds of Publishing up to the Post-Factual Age of Bush

"In or around December 1910," Virginia Woolf famously wrote in 1924, "human character changed." The Bloomsbury Group re-keyed itself. In 1945, Raymond Williams returned from the war to Cambridge and found life had changed. "We no longer spoke the same language," he wrote in *Keywords: A Vocabulary of Culture and Society* (1982). Even as each 20th-century decade more or less accommodated homosexuality, the 1960s blew in on Stonewall by offering a perfect storm of liberation as elements converged through the media of popular culture wherein everything changed, if one applies Warhol, every fifteen minutes. In 1964, the trifecta of the Free Speech Movement at Berkeley, Kenneth Marlowe's best-

selling *Mr. Madam*, and Susan Sontag's "Notes on 'Camp" sounded the charge of the gay-keyword stampede out of Polari and into the streets.

In the revolutionary spring of 1968, after Martin Luther King was assassinated in April and protest riots of resistance broke out in sixty American cities, Robert Kennedy was killed in June, two days after Andy Warhol was shot by genderist Valerie Solanas. Word-slinger Mart Crowley's *The Boys in the Band* opened April 14 in New York and accurately outed fluent gay badinage into pop culture media. In August, when the Chicago police rioted with clubs beating activists at the Democratic Convention, the victims—surrounded in the streets—resisted and changed the politics of

In London, on May 14, 1969, in a very cruisy movie theater in Piccadilly Circus, I asked a very hot sailor, "Are you 'top' or 'bottom'?

And he said, "You Americans. You label everything."

dominance by chanting to invoke the power of the international television cameras: "The whole world is watching."

In spring 1969, Gloria Steinem wrote her first feminist article, "After Black Power, Women's Liberation," the taboo-breaking *Midnight Cowboy* premiered May 25, and on the very "out" date of June 9, 1969, once-a-century "6/9/69 parties" were celebrated throughout the free world—which inaugurated the 1970s orgy fad. Driven by this tidal surge, eighteen days later, at the Stonewall Inn, as June 28 became June 29, the love that dare not speak its name began to shout underground vocabulary to the media, like some wild burlesque Berlitz teaching gay speak as a foreign language.

Reporting the Stonewall uprising six hours after the first stone was cast, a reticent New York Times in ten short-shrift paragraphs used the word homosexual once and "young men" twice. The New York Post in five paragraphs used homosexual only once but actually dared quote the framing chant of "gay power." The New York Daily News tried to disarm the mutiny with the mocking, nelly, campy "Homo Nest Raided, Queen Bees Stinging Mad." In its Independence Day issue (July 3, 1969), The Village Voice nailed the gay gravitas with the headline feature "Gay Power Comes to Sheridan Square." On November 5, activists successfully picketed the Los Angeles Times for refusing to print the word homosexual in advertisements. By June 1970, thousands of gay militants—veterans of civil rights, women's lib, and peace movements marched past news media cameras with signs reading "Gay Pride" and "Gay Power" at the Christopher Street Liberation Day in Central Park. In my journal, which during the next twelve years grew into my love-letter book of the first Gay Renaissance, Some Dance to Remember: A Memoir-Novel of San Francisco 1970-1982, I noted: gay character changed.

"Bliss was it that dawn to be alive, but to be young was heaven." —William Wordsworth, "The Prelude"

These events, outing gay speak, began the

teach-in to make straight pop-culture bilingual. Just as in the early 1960s the Peace Movement and Civil Rights Movement debated their differences, then joined together for political strength, the best drag that queers ever did was cloaking gay liberation in the keywords of the civil rights movement. Revolutionary change drove the mood-swings during that "Stonewall summer" of America landing a man on the moon, of Charles Manson, of Easy Rider, of the Tet counteroffensive in Vietnam, and of Woodstock.

Five years before Stonewall, at the same instant that Sontag unleashed "Camp," Life magazine, (June 26, 1964) framed the lifestyle of masculine-identified gay liberation in the feature article, "Homosexuality in America," with the lead lines: "A secret world grows open and bolder. Society is forced to look at it—and try to understand it." It was like sending an engraved invitation to San Francisco and started the migration of the gay nation west to the Left Coast. When Judy Garland, the ventriloquist of gay code whose funeral ignited the passions of Stonewall, sang "San Francisco" for the live concert Judy at Carnegie Hall, there can be heard—recorded for the first time, April 23, 1961—the group-cheering of gay men's voices. Like baby's first word, there was something so thrilling and uncloseted in that outshout "finding the gay voice" that the quintessential framing poet of gay synonyms, Walt Whitman, would have recognized the united gay roar as part of his glorious "barbaric yawp."

"Coming out of the closet" is an act of immigration. First, the person coming out is forced to learn a new language of sex and identity. Second, coming out is fraught with all the framing/keying problems common to every other "immigrant versus host society" trying to establish a discourse. Both immigrant and host require pathbreaking keywords each can accept. In a way, the acid-inflected morning after Stonewall was like the first dawn in Eden when Adam's task was to name everything in sight.

In its whole history, San Francisco had never let "a stranger wait outside its Golden Gate," and especially not outside its Golden Gate YMCA. In the 1970s, San Francisco was suddenly teeming

with thousands of gay refugees fleeing sexual, religious, and legal persecution. Other thousands arrived to carry on the 1960s hippie party of sex, drugs, and rock. Immigration's linguistic issues are often difficult, but, in the case of homosexuals, how were media to frame "sexual outlaws"? Even as San Francisco became gay Mecca, when the Ritch Street Baths caught fire very late one night in 1972, the morning *Chronicle* tapped code about the safe evacuation of hundreds of "slender young men in towels," because *gay* and *homosexual* were not fully "out" from the penumbra of libel.

Sex itself made the gay migration different from previous immigrants whose identity was keyed in race, nationality, and language. Sex and law and morality collided around labeling gay immigrants in ways that other immigrants, say, Irish or Jewish, defused alienation with cooking, music, and universal images of burgeoning pregnant family life—a trope now become a latter-day essential in the gay marriage crusade.

Language also relates, if anything can, the black experience with the gay experience. Queer speak is as essential to gay identity as rap is to black culture. Aside from all the controversies over "Ebonics" and Polari, the bilingual truth is that blacks and gays both understand standard American English while speaking their own dialects not reciprocally understood by standard Americans. Actually, straight readers of gay fiction and nonfiction frequently mention that gay speak so eludes them they need a glossary or a gay interpreter named Bruce. Farther afield, fundamentalists see gays not as immigrants, but as colonists, whom they further reframe as terrorists, threatening their "family values" and their revenue source in the tax base for income and inheritance skewed against unmarried people. 1

In the American culture war, eschatological TV preacher Jerry Falwell on September 14, 2001, blamed the events of 9/11 on his key litany of "homosexuals, abortionists, and the ACLU." (But, of course! Gays had practiced by destroying Sodom and Gomorrah, even as they gentrify all other cities.) In 1977, fundamentalist David A. Noebel wrote the book, *The Homosexual Revolution: End-Time Abomination*, which describes gay speak as

"not in most people's frames of reference....Who would suspect that the homosexual sub-culture language contains over 12,000 terms used by homosexuals to identify themselves and their needs." Noebel fails to credit the value of his source, *The Queen's Vernacular*, even as he spins that jolly glossary against itself as if it were some evil Masonic incantation. Fundamentalists obsessing over Bible words also obsess over gay language and judge, for instance, the triumphant word *pride* in "Gay Pride" as the "signature queer sin" among the seven deadly sins; for pride is vanity, the sin from which all other sins arise. ("Gay Pride/Power" is a riff, of course, on "Black Pride/Power.")

Ten years after Stonewall, on the night of May 21, 1979, thousands of San Francisco gays rushed on City Hall, attacked two squads of police, and set nine police cars afire. The "White Night Riot" ignited because a jury, believing the coinage "Twinkie Defense," gave a slap on the wrist to the assassin of Harvey Milk and Mayor George Moscone. The May 22 Chronicle went beyond "slender young men" with the headline: "Gay Plea for Calm." These were no longer the amusing "friends of Dorothy." The 1950s and 1960s codes of self-defense had given way to 1970s rhetoric that the best defense is a good offense. As 1970s "gay liberation" rekeyed itself into 1980s "gay politics," fag tags turned linguistic helixes around 1) the politicalization represented in the rise of gay-and-lesbian studies and 2) the medicalization of terms around GRID (the specific blood libel of Gay-Related Immune Deficiency) and then around HIV which was the iceberg that struck the Titanic 1970s as the festive party was cruising

By 1983, AIDS vocabulary, particularly in the popular straight mind, virtually returned homosexuality—after only a decade off for good behavior—to its definition as a "disease" albeit not the "mental disorder" which had been abandoned by the American Psychiatric Association in 1973. The politically correct made a huge mistake in medicalizing 1970s behavior as the cause of AIDS. That is a *post-hoc-ergo-propter-hoc* fallacy. Truth be told: Some who felt left out of or who missed the 1970s celebration were simply jealous. It may have

been cute to blame bell bottoms, disco, and bath houses, but a virus caused AIDS which was passed more by the sharing of needles among the A-List than by A-List sex acts. If HIV had never been invented, and if Marxist keywords had never been injected into the gay bloodstream, the 70s would be fondly remembered as a Gay Renaissance, the Golden Age of the First Decade of Gay Liberation, because the 70s were to sex what the enlightenment was to reason.

"Whoever did not live in the years neighboring the revolution does not know what the pleasure of living means."

—Charles Maurice de Talleyrand

The 1990s exploded academically around queer, but queer differentiation and revolt in pop culture predates even 1978 when a tagger spray-painted the ruined Falstaff Brewery in San Francisco with the armageddon graffiti, "Queers against Gays."2 The minute that Bruce Rodgers published his 1973 thesaurus, The Queens' Vernacular: A Gay Lexicon, many masculine-identified gays judged his book a rather dangerous little dictionary of oppression because they were, as was Sontag, both drawn to camp and offended by it. In the straightstream media, Time magazine dared two very "out" latchkey covers: the gay-soldier shocker "I Am a Homosexual," September 8, 1975, featuring the sentence, "Like most subcultures, the homosexual world has its own language," and "How Gay Is Gay?" on April 23, 1979. The June 25, 1979, cover of New York magazine declared the headline promise "The Meaning of Gay."

The article "How Gay Is Gay" foreshadowed by twenty years President Clinton's re-framing oral/anal sex by declaring, "It all depends on what the definition of is is." The Southern Baptist Clinton, perhaps influenced by the Old Testament stricture against one saying the name of "G-d," was also the defining censor of "g-y" and "homos-xuality" authoring "Don't Ask. Don't Tell."

Because masculinity in queer men is even more vexing than effeminacy in queer men, the rise of masculine-identified gay men took heteronormative men and women aback, causing mainstream magazines to run cover stories rethinking the nature of masculinity: e.g., "Masculinity: 60 Points of View," *Harper's Magazine*, July 1975.

The mantra of power is embedded in the book title of George Lakoff's Don't Think of an Elephant: Know Your Values and Frame the Debate, The Essential Guide for Progressives. Hi-jacking language is as easy as reframing ego as self-esteem. The Religious Right has reframed its off-center puritan fundamentalism by dropping the adjective religious for faith-based, and by grabbing hold of keywords like family, values, and marriage in coined phrases such as "heterosexuals hold the 'patent' on the word marriage."3 Just so, because the American Psychiatric Association reframed homosexuality, and because gay activists reframed gay lib into the gay politics of civil rights, and because queers have extended—not narrowed—the definition of family, so might homosexuality reframe itself as a worldwide, "intuitive religion" predating the revealed religions of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, in order to gain the protection that the United States Constitution extends to all religions. If Ron Hubbard got away with declaring Scientology a religion, why should homosexuality be any less sacred? Or any more taxed?

Verbally, the Stone Age literature of the Bible, which has an opinion about absolutely everything, was apparently made speechless by homosexuality which like feminism's primary goal separates sex from procreation. Sodomite seems geographical, and ignores Gomorrah, and has left law books confused about the definition of sodomy. "A man lying with another man as with a woman" is awkward in the way the German Fehrnseeapparat, "the far-seeing-apparatus," means a TV set. The Bible is hardly a dictionary, but its binary thumpers use it like the New Oxford even though Scripture's procreational chauvinism indicates that one half of a gay couple plays the woman which in truth would never enter the minds of two homomasculine men going at each other celebrating male essence and harvesting "manjuices." When two homomasculine men are fucking, neither is thinking about women anymore than two homofeminine women fucking on the L Word are

thinking about men. Are there any keywords in Anglo-Saxon orgasm besides, *shit*, *fuck*, and *Oh*, *God*?

Most evangelicals—some of whom actually buy gay porno and gather in groups to study gay sins—have never actually seen gay sex except in DVDs that ape procreational sex insertion for the one reason that straight distributors insist gay producers include the heteronormative act of penetration in each feature. Actually, independent gay movies—not financed by straight mafias of whatever kind—fairly much reveal that sex for most gay men is less about anal penetration than it is about frottage, cocksucking, priapic worship, and mutual masturbation.

It does not require a degree in linguistics to figure out that the Bible, a misanthropic morass of ambiguity, has four thousand years of on-going translation issues that invalidate every single word in it. (The word *homosexuality* did not appear in the Bible until the Revised Standard Version of 1946.) Too bad the Bible text—which has had more massages than Hugh Hefner—is a "moral identity document" to people who have mostly never read any other book and who believe in private interpretation of the Scripture, but not in university classes teaching Literary Interpretation 101.

Actually, Christianity, in its original form as Catholicism and its reformed version as Protestantism, is a "revealed religion" that has really little or no business interpreting the intuitive psychology of homosexuality which—and here is where queer culture can take Lakoff's *Elephant* advice and reframe the debate to gain constitutional freedom—is a "natural religion" more ancient than pagans and Druids. Gays worshiped at Stonehenge eons before Stonewall. Revealed religions (Judaism, Christianity, Islam) and intuitive religions (nature-based like wicca, or homosexuality wherein erotic dreams conjure and envision true nature) don't speak the same language. Words also can be "natural" or "revealed."

This is key: In the revealed theocracy of Christianity the "word becomes flesh"; in the intuitive religion of homosexuality, "flesh becomes words." Queers squeeze flesh till it screams its name, its

identity. ("I'm hairy, fat, and bald; I'm a bear.") Coined for *Popular Witchcraft*, the word and concept *homochristianity* was also explicitly dramatized in *Some Dance to Remember* where an erotic act of S&M crucifixion soars up the body, up the erections, and up out of the mouth of the protagonist who finds words for the essence of homosexual body worship based on the main image of western art: a heroic, muscular, nearly naked Jesus spreadeagled in bondage on the cross. What boy born gay does not feel Eros squeezing Christ's priapic body into erotica?

After the Greeks, the Jews, the Christians, Aquinas, and Shakespeare, why did it take till 1869 for the homomasculine (or maybe just anti-effete) Austrian Karoly Kertbeny, championing "the rights of man," to coin *homosexuality* in "love letters" to his unrequited "boyfriend" Karl Ulrichs?

If the sacred Walt Whitman, the best linguist ever at coining gay synonyms in his pansexual "bible" *Leaves of Grass*, had framed a specific word for his "Calamus" emotions, perhaps President Abraham Lincoln in the mid-1800s might have had a word for his "sleeping" in the same bed with the captain of his guards, and other men. Lacking any label, Lincoln's White House homosexuality simply evaporated.

Tennessee Williams often coded homosexuality for Broadway blue-hairs as "something unspoken"—kind of "show-don't-tell"; e.g.: Neither Brick nor Maggie dares say homosexual in Cat on a Hot Tin Roof. In fact, Williams, America's greatest poetic dramatist, warned of the damaging psychology of keeping homosex unspoken in his perfectly hysterical fag aria, Suddenly Last Summer. The Kinsey Report (1948) introducing sex to the mainstream media proved that once a secret word becomes public it loses some of its private meaning. Familiarity subtracts fear, for instance, in the way that Queer as Folk and the deballed Queer Eye for the Straight Guy re-coin queer into soap opera and consumerism.

George Rousseau has stated the necessity of naming because there is a reality to words and things, and, to elaborate on Rousseau, there is a magical, religious, transformative potency in verbal conjuration from *Hoc est enim corpus meum* to

hocus pocus to "Who's your daddy?" Keywords such as homomasculinity are conjured as a kind of queer abracadabra that by coming into being identify the previously "unspeakable unspoken." My liberationist idea of Drummer was to use words to seduce readers into daring to realize that, by the very trans-magical act of jerking off to the erotic contents of Drummer, they became informed and empowered to dare exit their masturbatory solitude and seek interaction with real live men. The greatest act of magic is the power of words to make a reader think, grin, imagine, and cum.

Gay literature is a body-driven genre in which keywords are invented in one palm-driving hand while the other hand types. Gay literature has always been as flammable as faggots themselves. Up to the night of Stonewall, gay erotic fiction was a genre typed on feathery light onion-skin paper with one or two carbons beneath so that the one-handed typist, who also interpreted and changed the story (the way medieval monks "scratched out" the Bible), might send the copies (two to eight pages posted for three cents) on to friends who would themselves in a heightened sexual state retype, interpolate, and mail this chain of secret literature where gay plot, gay character, and especially primal gay language evolved in the hands and imaginations of its primary users. These onion-skin stories are in a sense collective gay journals that are the roots of the public autobiography of gay men which first broke from the demimonde in the hectographed kitchen-table 'zines of the mid-twentieth century and then in the liberated gay magazines of the 1970s.4

The parallel to gay literature is the literature of witchcraft existing *subrosa* from ancient prepagan times. Sexual outlaws, like witches, tend not to publish their ideas and identities. In 1978, Mexican-American John Rechy shape-shifted language with his *Sexual Outlaw*; however, thirty years before, when British expatriate Harry Hay was founding the occult-named Mattachine Society with its neologue newsletter in Los Angeles in November 1950, British activist Gerald Gardner, arguing that witchcraft itself was the Old Religion, persuaded Britain to legalize witchcraft on June 22, 1951. Even on the cusp of victory, Gard-

ner, the keeper of the keywords of cult and incantation, advised that *grimoires* stay handwritten and hidden so their pages, words, and spells could be set afire by oneself—if need be—before the neighbors with pitchforks and torches reached one's house.

Hay, himself the brilliant resurrectionist of what I call the "Old Religion of Gay Faerie," was less cautious about brandishing words. He dared publish more boldly; so he personally suffered as a gay man in 1954 at the hand of Senator Joseph McCarthy's House Un-American Activities' witch hunt run by the United States Senate. Thirty-five years later in a gay panic the same puritan Senate attacked photographer Robert Mapplethorpe as the synonymously "homosexual, sadist, satanist, and child pornographer" whose guilt was proved by his portraits of liberated women like Susan Sarandon.

In 1969, gay pressure brought in a civil court suit from two very masculine-identified publishers, Chuck Renslow of Kris Studio in Chicago with support from Bob Mizer of Athletic Model Guild in Los Angeles, caused the U. S. Post Office to legalize full-frontal nudity. This single ruling regarding posting photographs through the mail ended censorship and made gay magazines—and thus "full-frontal gay vocabulary"—possible because while one picture was worth a thousand words, a thousand words quickly followed to amplify the photos.

Beginning in 1946, Bob Mizer (1922-1992), a reductive linguist with a fifty-year publishing career, had a very infamous keyword list of codes in his hugely popular magazine *Physique Pictorial*. His secret short hand of chicken-scratch primitive symbols told the sexuality of his models to his subscribers who had to request Mizer's "translation list" for deciphering the almost Lascaux symbols into words. In short, like the witchcraft grimoires before, the gay grimoires dared come forward from the subterra of underground outlaw culture into the straightstream of American pop culture.

II. Porno Ergo Sum

Neologisms, Their Need, Genesis, and Guide to the Past: Homomasculinity, Leather, and Bear with Attendant Cloned Words

Out of journalistic necessity,
I coined the word homomasculinity
so I could write about
the geography of men
at the existential 'XYY-Point'
where our male latitude
crosses our gay longitude.

Stonewall was to gay liberation what talking pictures were to Hollywood. Suddenly in the 70s, gay magazines spoke! Gay culture found its voice. Gay mags were the first medium word-smithing uncoded gay popular culture—and, in a huge intellectual mistake, have been largely neglected by scholars. (Gay film was silent cinema until video cameras appeared in 1982; gay book publishers hardly appeared before the mid-to-late 1980s.) Coming out of a text-free tradition heretofore disguised coyly as physique photo booklets for "artists who cannot afford models," gay magazines such as the pioneer Drummer (first issue June 20, 1975) proclaimed something new: frontal nudity plus sexy captions, sex-narrative news articles, gonzo feature articles and interviews, and erotic fiction openly inviting masturbation.

To write is to conceptualize topic words for topic sentences to collapse huge concepts into one syllable for use by the writer and reader of academic and pop culture. In this instance, the uncloseting of butch queers was a striking reveal of homosexuality's most invisible population: the masculine-identified. Driving *Drummer*, I toyed with words on an abacus wire to make neologisms add up to something intelligent and hot—coining words that start in the reader's head and work their way down. I was a writer/editor/photographer into "the scene."

When the American Popular Culture Association (founded 1968) changed the character of American Studies by introducing diversity, race,

sex, and gender, I immediately, as a charter member, penned gay-themed articles for the *Journal of Popular Culture (The Boys in the Band)* and wrote *Popular Witchcraft* (begun 1969; published 1972), one of the first books for the Popular Culture University Press. Back then I was stuck with words like *homophile* and *invert* even as the 1968 pop-culture mandate was to examine culture as it happened rather than wait fifty years for historians to comment. Thus stuck as the Titanic 70s began, it was necessary to name, label, and conceptualize words that organized identity, sexuality, and politics.

In terms of how on-the-spot coinages help us rethink the past, the GLBT Historical Society, San Francisco, kindly assessed that my writing "pioneering since the late sixties has helped document the gay world and the changes it has undergone." In my 1968 novel, *I Am Curious (Leather)*, written while I was a tenured university professor, an experienced biker teaches a young man (and therefore the pre-Stonewall reader, and then, when serialized in 1978, the *Drummer* reader) a list of primer words which clue him into S&M sex and define his innate behavior as a masculine man.

Because the neologisms and sex-narrative news features worked, the Bar Area Reporter observed that my 1970s "writing created the leather prose style and its magazines" meaning directly Man2Man Quarterly, the California Action Guide, and Drummer whose "groundbreaking editor," so mentioned PlanetOut.com, I had the good luck to be. (The "leather prose style" was my introducing, by spinning off Hunter Thompson, an erotic participatory element into journalistic news stories as well as Joycean wordplay and stream of consciousness into erotic fiction to make it "literary." Michael Bronski wrote that my participatory eye-witness style from the 1970s was about "ideas" and represented the then new wave of "masculine romance.")5

As gonzo eyewitness in sex and art, particularly with my lover, Robert Mapplethorpe, all I knew was that our gay history would have no more memory than the remembrance we give it. Opposite the maxim that "Christ is the Word Made

Flesh," my sex credo is: "Flesh becomes words." Robert Frost in his poem about building a stone-wall says that we learn from our hands to our heads. The conundrum is that homosexuality is a hologram. You see it, but when you reach out to touch it, your physical hand closes empty around what you think is tangibly there. That very disconnect between head and hand invites coinage not only in pop culture but in men's studies which ought to approach males and masculinities parallel to feminist approaches to women, female identity, and femininities.

Over forty-five years, from Stonewall to the fin de siecle, at the ends of my fingers, experimental words appeared early on in the starting-gate books What They Did to the Kid (1965), Love and Death in Tennessee Williams (1967), the aforementioned I Am Curious (Leather) (1968), and Popular Witchcraft: Straight from the Witch's Mouth (1972; new edition April 1, 2005). Some words were one-off poetic spontaneities: e.g., cumshine. Others were carefully crafted for repeated use: homomasculinity. Perhaps some future student of gay literature or queer theory (or whatever gay studies are called next generation)can sort through my kama sutra short stories and novels and biographies and academic essays to separate words that are merely stylistically buoyant from words that actually designed a concept and moved the gay conversation forward to a perspective helpful to rethinking the past.

By 1977 in Drummer and in the 1970-1982 journal drafts of Some Dance to Remember (memoir-novel completed 1984), my job entailed coining: homomasculinity, homomasculine, homomuscular, as well as the reciprocal homofemininity, heteromasculinity, and slam-dunk spinoffs such as heterophobia (this unspoken virus infecting gay newspapers and blogs is never mentioned at self-defined "inclusive" queer conferences, is rarely admitted or studied, and deserves its own conference or issue of some academic journal), perversatility (a positive quality; from perverse + versatility), the prefix man (eg. mansex), the suffix stream (e.g. gaystream, leatherstream, bearstream), homochristianity, recreational sex, man2man, straight queens (e.g., TV's Frasier), and the first use of the eponymous bear which like leather no one person invented per se; a gazillion new synonyms for *penis*, *sperm*, and *orgasm* because all neologisms had to be interactively surprising enough to keep the magazine reader cuming, and coming back; a new 1972 definition of S&M as "sensuality and mutuality" which led to *mutualist*; plus attempts at a gay style guide to standardize, according to the Anglo-Saxon rather than the French-Norman, the slippery erotic spellings of *hardon*, *cum/cuming*, etc. When the once bright young thing Norman Mailer, who had spelled *fuck* as *fug* in his huge best-seller *The Naked and the Dead* (1948), was being lionized at a New York party, he was introduced to the diva Tallullah Bankhead who hissed, "Oh, darling! You're the young man who can't spell *fuck*."

In "Naming the Neologism," homomasculinity, born out of a re-conception of courtly love, was carefully designed to deflect from the word sex in the center of homosexuality, because that "neon centrality" reduced gays to sexual acts, so much so that straights (always uncomfortable with the word sex buried in any word) rather immediately preferred the alternative gay which scared neither the horses nor their children, because gay does not imply sex. In addition, gay is three letters brief—a keyword perfectly sized for headlines. Nevertheless, homosexuality as a construct suggested a classic utility worth building on, even if homo was often a pop epithet equal to fag and queer. (It is a gay linguistic theorem that epithets can be unhorsed and co-opted.) Homo is a root to cling to. After the fashion of Raymond Williams' Keywords, homomasculinity might be analyzed in the following genesis.

During my eleven years at the Pontifical College Josephinum where I was a schoolmate of Cardinal Bernard Law who became a media scandal in Boston for covering up molestation by his priests, my eight years of Greek and Latin studies caused my lifting of *homo* as a prefix from the Greek meaning "the same" and not from the Latin noun meaning "man." *Homomasculinity*, therefore, is as Williams mentions of the words he examined, one of those words that forces itself on our attention, because the problems of its meaning seem "inextricably bound up with the problems it was being used to discuss." This linguistic

bondage of *meaning* and *problem* is the "good cholesterol" and the "bad cholesterol" of keywords.

Homomasculinity and its sibling words leather and bear (which are categories more than synonyms) were detached from macho and butch even before macho went straight and butch went lesbian. In the pop-culture genesis and use of homomasculinity, the word is an apolitical identity category of non-hegemonic masculinity that allows men's bodies to shape esthetic, erotic, and social vocabulary, delving behind the "Number One Keyword" used in gay personals ads to apply to the advertiser and to his quarry: straight-acting. Like it or not, the statistical truth—revealed by marketing and personals ads that do not lie—is that straight-acting is the main unit of erotic measure for many millions of gay

Homomasculinity, leather, and bear (all of which led to the Instamatic flash coinages of daddy and boy in Drummer) actually "flesh out" the masculine-identified diversity behind this enormous gay demand for "straight-acting" and "straight-appearing." Not to pull back the Wizard's emerald curtain, but it might be a revelation to point out that most bears are middle-class gay men who travel in packs to conventions and resorts, and that their middle-class "bear lust" romanticizing blue-collar working men is the same as the lust that the upper-crust has always had for working-class sexuality. (See the T. S. Eliot drawings of homomasculine artists Domino and Rex who celebrate "restless nights in one-night cheap hotels," toilets, and filling stations.)

Homomasculinity seeks the pure heart of the archetypal best that males do, not the stereotypical worst. Homomasculinity taken to extremes is hyper-masculinity. Once embodied in right-wing Hollywood cowboy John Wayne, that hyper-masculine exaggeration of an actual cowboy is the affected bowlegged walk, sneering southern drawl, and fetish gear of George W. Bush cloned like a "Gay Bill Doll" action figure in cowboy hats and flight suits on the deck of an aircraft carrier with his keywords "Mission Accomplished" painted on a banner three stories tall.

Homomasculinity, leather, and bear, firstly, are apolitical and archetypal expressions of the embod-

ied masculine realities of gay men keyed to how male bodies have emerged within homosexuality—our bodies, our selves, our destiny—to celebrate (that is, fetishize) male secondary sex characteristics of body-hair patterns, moustache, beard, bone mass, weight, musculature, and voice as well as ageing (on into andropause and seniority), in a vocabulary of in-corpor-ated identity markers psychologically antidotal to the ever-young androgyne as well as effeminate conventions, stereotypes, and fears. Secondly, these words, fixed at the time of their coining, provided the muscular vocabulary gay men needed as they rejected society's subjugation and dismissal that classified them as feminine, because as long as people think gays "want to be women," people will, using that key phrase, bash and abuse gays the way they victimize women, which is why gays' and women's causes are so similar, and can be linked to such mutual benefit.

In 1978, at age 39, I looked at the futurity of gay men in a feature interview with the 37-yearold pornstar legend Richard Locke (Drummer 24, September 1978), and I wrote, conscious of our future history, "Years from now when you read this and you will read this, remember the way we were in 1978." The need for homomasculinity arose because Peter Pan cannot stop growing thicker, hairier, and older. So I thought to make a virtue of necessity—literally, virtue, from the Latin, vir, meaning male. Inspired by the then new Spanish film, In Praise of Older Women (1978), I introduced the nouvelle but reader-friendly phrase "In Praise of Older Men" into "Upcoming at Drummer' which became the special unnumbered issue Drummer Daddies, "In Search of Older Men." In that same Drummer 24, with its famous Mapplethorpe cover deconstructing the cliche of kveeny male beauty, my editorial, "Let Us Praise Fucking with Authentic Men," amplified the text and photos of grown men doing their dad's act not their mum's.

In 1969, my friend Al Shapiro (the artist A. Jay) had become art director of the self-defining *Queen's Quarterly*; by the mid-70s, he turned 180 degrees of separation from *QQ* and we began creating *Drummer* as a pro-active lifestyle magazine for masculine-identified guys. Thus ignited by my

original coinages and high concepts in these early issues, Drummer then built—for the next twenty vears of its existence—entire issues on homomasculine fetishes and themes of "dads" and "sons/ boys" and "bears" and finally on "mountainmen." That word I introduced from my own buck-skinning re-enactment experience as a new fetish category in the huge "Bear Issue" of Drummer 119 (August 1988). I make a tiny nod to Richard Amory's pastoral Song of the Loon (book 1966; film 1970), his Fenimore Cooper leatherman, and his Native-American named "Bear-Who-Dreams." Amory, however, who spent too much time making a pseudo-sexy pen-name, blew the coming tide because he did bother to fetishize the word bear. So bear lay ignored, mostly because gay consciousness was too young and too skinny to need bear's interpretive dance titled "The Old Man's Boy Grows Older." (Paging Matthew Bourne!)

Linguistic history is Rashomon, and editing and writing Drummer positioned me in the center flow of the leatherstream of diversified homomasculinity. In Drummer 20, January 1978, I widened the magazine with the first "gay sports" feature article, and in Drummer 23, July 1978, added the key line to the masthead: "The American Journal of Gay Popular Culture," and in that landmark Drummer 24, September 1978, wrote a homomasculinist editorial celebrating "male authenticity." For the twenty-five years of Drummer's existence, in 62 of its 200 issues, as Drummer's most continuous contributor, I was dedicated to keeping the magazine both verite and "reader reflexive." For instance, no one person invented the word bear which was in common American straight use for "a non-threatening hairy, burly, jovial, blue-collar man's man" as well as in the name of the football team, the Chicago Bears, who fairly much sum up the heteromasculine blue-collar bear body type. As writer and editor, I helped turn the word bear specifically gay—that is, into a fetish item which means into a category of desire—insofar as I wrote the first ever feature article on bears, actually using bear as a keyword denoting category, identity, and commodity in the California Action Guide, November 1982. Pumping this first feature article about bears, I was also the first editor to put

the word *bear* on a magazine cover (the same *CAG*, 11/82), under the banner headline, "Beyond Gay: Homasculinity for the 80s! Why You're Not Gay Anymore!" with "Bears: Hair-Fetish Ranch" to announce the feature "Hair-Balling: Hair Fetish Confidential." The text directly connected *bear* and *homomasculinity* in the first paragraph.

Five years later, Richard Bulger founded Bear magazine (1987), and stated that my 'zine Man2Man Quarterly (1979-1982; registered as a Fictitious Business, San Francisco, July 24, 1979) had been his 'zine's model even as he wrote about his publishing mission, "There's another side to gay media: the side which Drummer, RFD, and the Leather Journal...capture. You can feel the homomasculinity in these publications, and I like that." (Bulger, Bear Magazine, Volume 2 #6, 1988, page 23). When the photocopied small-format 'zine Bear was one year old, I had publicized its bearstream in the glossy large-format pages of leatherstream Drummer 119, the aforementioned issue of August 1988, in what was the first bear feature article in Drummer: "How to Hunt Buckskin-Leather Mountain Men and Live among the Bears."

In those five years from my introducing bear to Bulger's creation of Bear magazine, as the gay look changed with the emaciation of AIDS, bear widened its original definition of "hairy body and/ or beard" to include avoirdupois because, I think, weight seemed a marker of virus-free health. Again, flesh becomes word. Time magazine writer, Andrew Sullivan, declared himself a bear August 1, 2003, on salon.com. In writing about the keyword bear, Sullivan rather much repeats Williams "inextricable" syndrome: "Every time I try and write a semi-serious sociological assessment of the bear phenomenon, I find myself erasing large amounts of text." That's because bear is a huge, receptive, inclusive, wonderful, humorous blank. In my "Foreword" to Les Wright's Bear Book II (2001), my definition of the incredible lightness of being bear had been: "The concept of bear is blank enough to absorb countless male identities and fantasies." In Ron Suresha's Bears on Bears: Interviews and Discussions (2002), I specified: "Bear is a concept so receptively blank that

as a label it welcomes and absorbs all masculine fantasies, fetishes, identities, and body types. *Bear* is all inclusive."

When publisher Anthony F. DeBlase, PhD., bought Drummer, he wrote an editorial in Drummer 100, October 1986, acknowledging that my 1970s Drummer focused on masculinity and then on the subcategories of leather, western, and fetishes. Leather was the keyword for masculine bonding beginning in California with motorcycle-riding exsoldiers after 1945 up through Marlon Brando's subversive hetero-seeming masculinity in A Streetcar Named Desire (1951) and homo-seeming masculinity in The Wild One (1953) which James Dean queered in his homoerotic coming-out film Rebel without a Cause (1955) and occult magus Kenneth Anger made startlingly homomasculine in his Christ-queering religious epic of gay leather ritual, Scorpio Rising (1963); this homo Christ worship became central sex act in Some Dance to Remember.

Leather defines a masculine way of being homosexual as in Larry Townsend's pioneering book, The Leatherman's Handbook (1972) for which I wrote an introduction: "By the time of the rip-roaring counter-culture of the 60s, the specific word leather, transcending literal meaning as clothing, surfaced from the underground subculture redefined to mean a specific psycho-drama sex-style. Leather, along with 60s peace, love, sex, drugs, and rockn-roll, arrived to name a way of being and becoming, of ritualizing and actualizing, of creation recreation, of politicizing marketing....Leather—barbaric, medieval, industrial—is the flesh become word. Leather is the conjure amulet....the fetish to which a certain erotic drive attaches itself and through which a certain desire commands its incarnation....Foucault twisted S&M leather recreational sex into...endgame....Leather liberated masculine love...and helped define masculine-identified homosexuality."7

Leather, with its gear and BDSM rituals provided grist and gristle for great copy and hot photos, but still seemed a bit specific and not inclusive of the wide market for *Drummer* which continued adding fetishized words such as *jock*, *muscleman*, *cowboy*, *blue-collar*, *chub*, *bear*, *cop*, and *uniform*.

(I added a special column to publish readers' selfpictures titled "Tough Customers" beginning Drummer 25, December 1978; that key phrase finally became its own magazine in the 1990s under editor Joseph W. Bean.) The predilection for these "action-hero key frames" arises partly from the linguistic and erotic fact that most of the 1960s-1970s gay lib generation were all "war babies," impressionable children who learned the gaydar of specific gender-tight language during World War II—while acutely aware of heroic absent daddies hypermasculinized in uniform and of "mannish" women doing "men's jobs" in factories and of "girly, womanly, female, feminine dames" (South Pacific) sexing up blue-collar male working gear. (I define gaydar as the 69th sense of multi-sensual queers.)

While I was editor, Drummer's press run, according to publisher John Embry, was 42,000 monthly, with another 42,000 pass-along. Twelve issues in twelve months times 84,000 equals over one million readers per year which, in pop culture where mass box-office numbers mean something, shows how embedded the need for a widely inclusive homomasculine identity actually was. (Drummer's 200+ issues from 1975-1999 reached a virtual infinity of international readers; those 1970s issues sell for \$150-\$450 per collector's copy in New York.) In filling each issue with homomasculine buzzwords to keep the pages fresh, Drummer was a lifestyle teaching device. If I introduced cigar as a fetish word (Drummer 22, May 1978), thirty days later, men appeared smoking cigars in bars.

So homomasculinity first appeared as an attitude in late 70s use in *Drummer*, then as a word in *Man2Man Quarterly* (1979), and then in the *California Action Guide* (1982). Mark Hemry was my partner in founding the 'zine *Man2Man Quarterly* and the tabloid *California Action Guide* —both designed to go deeper than *Drummer* into the then emerging homomasculine culture of totems and taboos. Fifteen Warhol minutes after *Man2Man* came forward as a trademarked title, long before numerals became common in gangsta and punk spelling, the phrase "man-to-man"—so internally defining and reciprocal—suddenly became a very vogue catch-phrase in gay magazines which had never

before tried the breakthrough concept of marketing to men as men. Both *Drummer* and *Bear* tagged their personals ads as "man-to-man."

Gays spin everything for camp. I'll be the first to say the world is full of male impersonators of every kind. If satire of a concept is proof of its existence, I gladly point out homomasculinity's confirmation in the comic camp of the disco group, "The Village People" who staged a commercial stereotype of the archetype singing "Macho Man," "In the Navy," and "YMCA." "The Village People" leatherman, Glenn Hughes, oftentimes partied with our *Drummer* salon who were also—late nights at the Slot Hotel and the Barracks bath on Folsom Street—fisting and fucking Foucault.

During the 1980s, I tub-thumped homomasculinity, importing it with my leatherstream fiction and nonfiction to the original Bear magazine as well as to the Mavety Corporation's younger, blonder magazines (Uncut, Inches, Skinflicks, Just Men) and Brush Creek Media magazines such as the new Bear magazine, Powerplay, and Leatherman which acknowledged in issue two that its title was taken from the name of a fictional magazine in Some Dance to Remember, after my artificially inseminating their pages with these seed words, the magazines themselves began to use the terms as did the readers in writing their personals ads. The true test of a word becoming key is when the readers start writing it in their personals ads. It also appeared as the specifically mentioned main theme in books such as Some Dance to Remember (1990, new edition Autumn 2005); Corporal in Charge of Taking Care of Captain O'Malley (1978; 1984; republished for its specific gay-speak as the homomasculinist one-act drama in the Lammy winning Gay Roots, Winston Leyland, 1991); Titanic: Forbidden Stories Hollywood Forgot (1999); Chasing Danny Boy: Powerful Stories of Celtic Eros, with Neil Jordan (1999); and Tales from the Bear Cult: Bearotica for Your Inner Goldilocks (2001).

By 1990, homomasculinity had jumped into gender studies' use within the bear movement in which Ron Suresha coined ursomasculinity; Les Wright, PhD., pioneering men's studies in ways similar to feminist approaches to women, female

identities, and femininities furthered "homomasculinities" by studying "gay men identifying as men more than as gay" at his Nashoba Institute research site (bearhistory.com) and in his Bear Book: Readings in the History and Evolution of a Gay Male Subculture (1997), and Bear Book II: Further Readings (2001) with a time-line "Foreword" explaining how the word bear became a homomasculine construct; homomasculinity and gaystream were both adopted by documentarian Ron Suresha in his Bears on Bears which included his Q&A titled "Bearness's Beautiful Big Blank: Tracing the Genome of Ursomasculinity—An Interview with Jack Fritscher"; homomasculinity appeared in The Advocate, the "gay journal of record" in the article "Daring to Be Bears," August 20, 2002; also debuted in the benchmark Village Voice (June 22, 2004) describing the life's work of the legendary international artist Tom of Finland as the "artist whose drawings defined homomasculinity and S&M for the century"; Mary Louise Rasmussen and editor Eric Rofes—who is bearish and once of San Francisco's leather community-introduced homomasculinity to a new generation in the anthology, Youth and Sexuality, 2004.

Homomasculinity is a coinage easily illustrated in the manner of dictionaries where "one picture is worth a thousand words." I have written about and published the homomasculine photographs of Robert Mapplethorpe (whose first ever magazine cover, previously mentioned, I commissioned, designed, and cast for the distinctly homomasculine "Biker for Hire" cover, Drummer 24, September 1978) and of Arthur Tress (Drummer 30, June 1979), Jim French (Colt Studio), Lou Thomas (Target Studio), Chuck Renslow (Kris Studio), Bob Mizer (AMG), and the man-defining films of the Gage Brothers, as well as the drawings of Tom of Finland, Rex, the Hun, and Domino. As a career photographer and videographer, I have shot and printed specific images of my interpretation of homomasculinity in magazine covers, centerfolds, and photo spreads as well as in my more than 160 feature-length homomasculine videos shot for Palm Drive Video since 1982 with box office at 250,000 units sold only in blue states. Doing the math: if four guys watched each unit sold.... Palm

Drive Video's tag line is "Masculine Videos for Men Who Like Men Masculine."

Art critic Edward Lucie-Smith discussed the graphics of homomasculinity in his "Introduction" to the fifty-five photos he chose from my portfolio for the coffee-table book titled Jack Fritscher's American Men (Aubrey Walter, Gay Men's Press, London, 1994). Lucie-Smith wrote: "In these photographs, Fritscher focuses on what he calls 'homomasculinity'—less the act of sex, itself, more a complete state of being. [These are] ritualized totems of the potent American Dream, taken from his own dream visions, as well as the dreams of the intense cult following whose tastes he has recorded and reflected for many years on page and screen.... He believes that, just as some women now legitimately investigate their own gender, so too, many men have become increasingly curious about their own gender identification. In his view, true homomasculinity, far from cancelling out the female principle, offers the valid gender balance of male animus that the female anima demands and deserves....his images may be...threatening to a certain type of gay Puritan....."8

Frankly, homomasculinity, which was coined as a "Platonic blank of self-reliant male archetype," can be spun by bias against the concept. American Men, which makes absolutely no reference to women was judged "misogynistic" by one very binary American gay reviewer. Sexist himself, his reactionary "key" did not fit the "lock" these iconoclastic images had on ur-masculinity. Because masculinity is as valid a unit of identity as femininity, it should not be vilified by anyone confusing the Platonic ideal of homomasculinity with the "sins of patriarchy" as defined by those who would be matriarchs: real or drag. This exact cultural fear of masculine-identified gay men led gays and straights alike into censorship of Robert Mapplethorpe's homomasculine photography, and kept Patricia Nell Warren's homomasculine love story, The Front Runner (1974), from ever being filmed.

Homomasculinity, especially when made to sound political with an ism as in homomasculinism (a term I have never used), can incite male and female politicos as dramatized in Some Dance to Re-

member when the "Masculinist Manifesto," injected as a plot-pushing device to pinpoint the inflammatory sexual politics of the late 70s, causes curbside magazine racks selling the "Manifesto" to be set afire. One reviewer, who was not bilingual around "male stough" (stuff + tough), ranted under his headline, "The Rise and Fall of Butch," reviewing his own gender issues but not the book. The fictional "Masculinist Manifesto" is a simple "declaration of masculine independence" that in the course of the narrative becomes politicized by reactionaries the way masculinity was politicized by the anti-patriarchist Arthur Evans, the self-proclaimed "Red Queen," whose broadside, pasted on Castro Street lampposts, I took up from the street and published as a very camp "editorial" in Drummer 25, December 1978, with his title, "Afraid You're Not Butch Enough?" In truth, homomasculinity is no more patriarchal than the role playing of daddies and boys.

Building the homo-word-hoard was a clear necessity in the 1970s' gay civil war over terminology as "gays" fought "queens" fought "clones" fought "men who happened to be gay." For historians who want to know how a keyword helps understand the past, there, recorded on the Rosetta Stone of *Some Dance to Remember* is, as written on the first page, the beginning of the 1970s "civil war between women and men and men"—a very uncivil civil war over keywords as gay lib morphed into gay politics. Truth be told, in a world of sliding gender, *homomasculinity* and *bear* actually have grown to include women: e.g., "Lesbears and Transbears: Dykes and FTMs as Bears."

Psychologically, homomasculinity—and its attendant words from leather to bear—was needed as antidote to the self-hatred pushed at masculine-identified gay men whom other-identified gays considered part of straight masculine hegemony—particularly by queens ruling at the top of the hierarchy dominating early gay communities. (In 2005, sissy is now transforming as gay sites and publications use it—qualified—as in "self-proclaimed sissy' Bill Porter's one-man Broadway show.") It is ironic when masculine gay men are blamed for the sins of straight men given that gay men get no "bump" from anyone for "being gay,"

and then are bashed by straight men "because they are gay" and then—double indemnity—cursed by politically correct abusers because they are "male." What's good for the goose is good for the gander: if a woman wants to transgender into the Platonic ideal of a man, why criticize a man who want the same ideal?

My driving Drummer, and my cautionary tale Some Dance to Remember, with its fictitiously coded Drummer magazine, Maneuvers, was about finding the apt projection of that part of one's self that will control and discipline the self the way only self can. Therefore, only on the literal surface is homomasculinity about disciplinarian bikers and coaches; in truth, it is about identifying self discipline. Masculine-identified gay men have had to become positively self-reliant after the fashion of Ralph Waldo Emerson whose self-reliant person in mass media is the Marlboro cowboy. That cando erotic American cowboy image—reeking of homoerotic fraternity—I very specifically coopted off TV and billboards as the key subliminal behind every homomasculine face/body/attitude in every page, paragraph, and picture in Drummer. This iconic genesis out of the gay-friendly Emerson-by way of Walt Whitman's blue-collar lust for working men—is no stretch, really, because the very title of Drummer comes from Emerson's pal Henry David Thoreau who is quoted on the masthead of every issue of Drummer: "If a man does not keep pace with his companions, perhaps it is because he hears a different drummer. Let him step to the music he hears, however measured or far away."

That non-aggressive Transcendentalist self-reliance is at the very heart of self-disciplined homomasculinity. Just as the Marlboro ads never reference women, homomasculinity is a Whitmanian he-festival, a moment out of time, place, and politics that allows men to consider their essence and identity as males in terms of themselves and other men, before they dare even consider themselves ready or worthy to approach females and family. Philosophically, homomasculinity is a meditational helix very like Thomas Aquinas' consideration of ens qua ens, being as being, masculinity as masculinity, queer as queer—a defensible intellectual exercise that

is also legitimate emotionally, sexually, and politically on the human level. Masculinism and feminism both pale beside humanism which includes them both. That is why the first sentence of the masculine-identified *Some Dance to Remember* is very pointedly the tender homohumanism of "In the end, he could not deny his human heart."
© 2005 www.JackFritscher.com

"Perversatility is the ultimate homomasculinist talent."

—Some Dance to Remember

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Blake, Roger. The American Dictionary of Adult Sexual Terms, Century Publishing Company, 1964

Jung, C. G. Aspects of the Masculine, R. F. C. Hull, translator, Bollingen Series, Princeton University Press, 1989

Lakoff, George. Don't Think of an Elephant: Know Your Values and Frame the Debate—The Essential Guide for Progressives, White River Junstion VT: Chelsea Green Publishing, 2004

Legman, Gershon. "The Language of Homosexuality: An American Glossary" in George W. Henry, editor, Sex Variants: A Study of Homosexual Patterns, New York: Hoeber, 1941

Leyland, Winston, editor. Gay Roots: Twenty Years of Gay Sunshine—An Anthology of Gay History, Sex, Politics & Culture, San Francisco: Gay Sunshine Press, 1991

Suresha, Ron, editor. Bears on Bears: Interviews and Discussions, Los Angeles: Alyson Books, 2002

Thompson, Mark, editor. Leatherfolk: Radical Sex, People, Politics, and Practice, Boston: Alyson Publications, 1991

Townsend, Larry. Leatherman's Handbook, Silver Jubilee Edition, Los Angeles: L. T. Publications, 1997

Trimble, John. 5000 Adult Sex Words, Brandon House, 1966

Van Leer, David. The Queening of America: Gay Culture in Straight Society, New York: Routledge, 1995

Wright, Les, editor. Bear Book II: Further Readings in the History and Evolution of a Gay Male Subculture, Binghamton NY: The Haworth Press, 2001

Also:

Fritscher, Jack, Mapplethorpe: Assault with a Deadly Camera, Mamaroneck NY: Hastings House, 1994
Popular Witchcraft: Straight from the Witch's Mouth, Madison WI: University of Wisconsin Press, April 2005
Some Dance to Remember: A Memoir-Novel of San Francisco 1970-1982, Binghamton NY: The Haworth Press, Septembe
2005
Jack Fritscher's American Men, Photographs Selected by and with an Introduction by Edward Lucie-Smith, London
Editions Aubrey Walter, Gay Men's Publishers Ltd., 1995
"Porno Ergo Sum: The Incredible Lightness of Being Male," in M. Christian, editor, The Burning Pen: Sex Writers of
Sex Writing, Los Angeles: Alyson Publications, 2001
Love and Death in Tennessee Williams, Ph. D. Disertation, Loyola University of Chicago, 1968; on line a
www.JackFritscher.com
Eyewitness Drummer: A Memoir of the Gay History, Pop Culture, and Literary Roots of Drummer Magazine, Original Maga
zine Texts with Annotations, Original Art and Photos at www.JackFritscher.com

ENDNOTES

- ¹Breeding and taxes: "Two groups opposed to gay marriage rights...argued that the state has a legitimate interest in restricting marriage to opposite-sex couples as a way of encouraging procreation." —Associated Press, FoxNews, 14 March 2005; "Connecticut could lose nearly \$1 million a year because of decreased inheritance tax revenue if the state allows civil unions of same-sex couples." —Associated Press, 365Gay.com, 13 March 2005.
- ²Jack Fritscher. Some Dance to Remember: A Memoir-Novel of San Francisco 1970-1982, Stamford CT: Knights Press, 1990, p. 185.
- ³Associated Press, 25 April 2005.
- ⁴For years, I have noted the failure of queer studies in regard to gay magazines' editorial and advertising contents, and applaud Paul Baker's latter-day efforts in "No Fats, Femmes or Flamers: Changing Constructions of Identity and the Object of Desire in Gay Men's Magazines," B. Benwell and T. Edwards (eds), Masculinity and Men's Lifestyle Magazines, 2004, which, nevertheless, overall, does not excavate the gay magazine roots of the 60s and 70s, particularly Drummer, which quickly grew hugely influential as International Drummer.
- ⁵Michael Bronski. "S/M Fiction: Isn't It Romantic?" Gay Community News (Boston), Volume 12 #30, February 16, 1985, pp. 8-11; "Review: Some Dance to Remember," The Guide (Boston), July 1990, p. 7; "Review: Some Dance to Remember," First Hand, October 1990, p. 23.
- ⁶Ron Suresha, editor. Bears on Bears: Interviews and Discussions, Los Angeles: Alyson Books, 2002, p. 22.
- ⁷Jack Fritscher. "Introduction: I Am Curious (Leather)—Leather Dolce Vita, Pop Culture, and the Prime of Mr. Larry Townsend," Larry Townsend, *Leatherman's Handbook Silver Anniversary Edition*, Los Angeles: L. T. Publications, 1997, pp. 9-22.
- ⁸Edward Lucie Smith. "Introduction," *Jack Fritscher's American Men*, London: Editions Aubrey Walter, Gay Men's Publishers Ltd., 1995, p. 5.
- ⁹Suresha, editor. op. cit., pp. 77-96.